



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/885,959	06/22/2001	Robert Gallant	06944.0037 6201 EXAMINER		
27871 75	590 01/17/2006				
BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP BOX 25, COMMERCE COURT WEST			LANIER, BENJAMIN E		
199 BAY STREET, SUITE 2800 TORONTO, ON M5L 1A9			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2132		
CANADA	CANADA		DATE MAILED: 01/17/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/885,959	GALLANT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Benjamin E Lanier	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35.U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 December 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 June 2001 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the ore continuous to be continuous.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to lddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal Pa  6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2132

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed 15 December 2005 amends claims 1, 8, 9, 10, 17, 18. Applicant's amendment has been fully considered and is entered.

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments, filed 15 December 2005, with respect to the Mullin reference have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 102(e) rejections of claims 1-7, 10-16 have been withdrawn. Accordingly the 103 rejections of claims 8, 9, 17, and 18 have been withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant's argument with respect to the provisional double patenting rejection in view of co-pending Application No. 09/931,013 is not persuasive because the co-pending application has not been officially abandoned. If Applicant intends to abandon the application, an express abandonment should be filed or a call place to the Examiner of the co-pending application requesting abandonment of the co-pending application.

## Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2132

5. Claims 1-12, 14-18 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of copending Application No. 09931013. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because application claims a method for multiplying an elliptic curve point Q by selecting an elliptic curve over a finite field F, establishing a representation of said scalar k as a combination of components ki and an integer, combining said representation and said point Q to form a composite representation of a multiple corresponding to kQ, and computing a value corresponding to said point kQ from said composite representation of kQ.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

6. Claims 1-18 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 11/095,542. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because application claims a method for multiplying an elliptic curve point Q by selecting an elliptic curve over a finite field F, establishing a representation of said scalar k as a combination of components ki and an integer, combining said representation and said point Q to form a composite representation of a multiple corresponding to kQ, and computing a value corresponding to said point kQ from said composite representation of kQ.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 09/885,959

Art Unit: 2132

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin E. Lanier whose telephone number is 571-272-3805. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30am-5:00pm, F 7:30am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benjamin E. Lanier

GILBERTO BARRON JR.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 4